## ATTACHMENT 4: Pre-ISCO Coupling Processes

ISCO is often coupled with other remediation technologies as part of the overall treatment approach. Considerations for enhancing the coupling approach and/or cautions to consider in ISCO design and implementation are provided in the following table.

| Pre-ISCO  | larget Treatment Zone  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Technology  | Advantages   | Disadvantages  |  |
| Excavation  | <ul> <li>Rapid implementation</li> <li>Easy to apply oxidant at the<br/>infiltrative surface</li> <li>Soil mixing approaches may be<br/>more easily implemented</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Hotspots may remain</li> <li>Preferential flow may occur through backfill</li> <li>Contaminated or highly organic backfill may<br/>cause excessive oxidant demand</li> <li>Oxidant treatment of clean backfill represents<br/>inefficient oxidant use</li> </ul>  |  |
| Enhanced<br>product<br>recovery /<br>Multiphase<br>extraction | <ul> <li>Removal of pooled or high NAPL<br/>saturation zones improves<br/>advective oxidant transport and<br/>likelihood of ISCO's success</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Smear zone thicknesses may be increased</li> <li>Residual NAPL will likely remain</li> </ul>  |  |
| Surfactant<br>enhanced<br>aquifer<br>remediation<br>(SEAR)    | <ul> <li>Surfactants may improve the reactivity of some oxidants</li> <li>Enhanced solubilization and desorption may occur improving oxidation efficiency in the aqueous phase</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Incompatible surfactants may cause<br/>excessive oxidant decomposition</li> <li>Gas evolution may cause foaming and<br/>permeability loss</li> <li>Some NAPL mass is likely to remain after<br/>SEAR</li> <li>Potential lack of control of mobilized<br/>contaminants</li> </ul>  |  |
| Soil vapor<br>extraction /<br>Air sparging                    | <ul> <li>Infrastructure may already be in<br/>place for in situ ozonation</li> <li>Contaminated vapors may be<br/>captured when using oxidants that<br/>evolve significant amounts of gas</li> <li>May oxidize some reduced<br/>minerals, lowering the soil's natural<br/>oxidant demand for ISCO</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Desaturated groundwater zones may have<br/>lower relative permeability, challenging<br/>uniform delivery of aqueous oxidants</li> </ul>   |  |
| Thermal remediation   | <ul> <li>+ Elevated temperature may<br/>effectively activate some oxidants</li> <li>+ For some oxidants and resistant<br/>contaminants, kinetic rates of<br/>degradation may be tremendously<br/>improved at elevated temperature</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Elevated temperatures may pose health and<br/>safety concerns for some oxidants and<br/>contaminants with exothermic reactions</li> <li>Solidification of silt and clay materials may<br/>occur challenging subsequent oxidant<br/>delivery</li> <li>At elevated temperature, excessive oxidant<br/>decomposition may challenge effective<br/>delivery</li> </ul> |  |
| Intrinsic or<br>enhanced<br>bioremediation                    | + Biological degradation processes<br>(if any) may be anticipated to return<br>to baseline (e.g., unamended<br>natural levels) after ISCO, and<br>these may be used as a polishing<br>step   | <ul> <li>Reducing conditions associated with<br/>anaerobic conditions may require excessive<br/>oxidant dosing</li> <li>Elevated biomass and/or organic substrate<br/>concentrations in the treatment zone may<br/>cause excessive competition for oxidant and<br/>lead to poor treatment efficiencies</li> </ul>  |  |

| Table A4-1. Conditions Resulting from Pre-ISCO Mass Reduction Processes that May Be Applied |  |
|---|--|
| Within the ISCO Target Treatment Zone   |  |